THE REAL TERRORISM



OFOTO
IDAi

2025

Source permission: Kla.tv

ISBN 9789403828305

INDEX

2015

How EU governments instrumentalize the terror attacks

[VIDEO TEXT]

The terror attacks sparked off a global wave of solidarity, fury and grief. After the first shock, however, a second wave of security measures in domestic and foreign policy was released – apparently without pausing for a second. France bombs the sovereign state Syria with even more intensity and focuses nationally on the tightening of laws and a broader state authority. In this broadcast, valued viewers, we take a closer look at the domestic measures.

With the series of attacks on the 13th of November in Paris, presumably 8 assassins killed more than 130 people at six places in Paris. The terror militia “Islamic state” IS is supposed to have confessed responsibility for the attacks. Seven terrorists died during the attacks. Further accomplices are still being sought. The investigations led among other places to Belgium where several of the Paris assassins lived. Kla.tv reported in our previous broadcasts. The terror attacks sparked off a global wave of solidarity, fury and grief. After the first shock, however, a second wave of security measures in domestic and foreign policy was released – apparently without pausing for a second. France bombs the sovereign state Syria with even more intensity and focuses nationally on the tightening of laws and a broader state authority.

In this broadcast, valued viewers, we take a closer look at the domestic measures. Opinions differ widely about whether these measures are proportionate and can prevent further attacks. The fact is that European governments were given powerful tools and authorities after the attacks to better con-trol their own people and to exert an enormous influence on public life. But first things first: Imme-diately after the attacks, French President Hollande spoke of war and declared a state of emergency in France - initially for only 12 days but then prolonging it by three months. The exceptional legis-lation allows house searches without judicial permission; terrorist suspects can be placed under house arrest without a judge's decision. The role of the courts is set aside and important components are transferred to the police. In a further step, Hollande wants to add a kind of crisis article to the constitution, the Swiss radio and television SRF reported on November 18th . In this new consti-tutional article, the time restriction of the "state of crisis" will be removed or weakened. Bans on demonstrations were imposed. Two planned mass rallies that were supposed to take place on the occasion of the climate summit on November 30th in Paris were not permitted by the authorities. The explanation for this was: the need of avoiding any risk after the terror attacks. Democratic ex-pressive possibilities are being limited and this is an indirect victory for the terrorists, according to SRF on November 18th. Aside from this, curfews were imposed in France and the mayor of Lyon canceled the "Festival of Lights" on December 28th. Other EU countries followed suit. In Hannover, the international soccer match between Germany and Holland was canceled. According to the Ger-man online magazine "Spiegel Online", there had been a warning by the French secret service: someone intended to plant explosives in the stadium. In Brussels, there was also supposed to be a concrete indication for a planned terror attack. However, no specific information was provided. Nevertheless, this justified the following security measures taken by the Belgian government:

- The terror alert level was raised to the highest level – this applies at least until November 23rd.
- The police and army presence was increased. "Brussels is like an occupied city", SRF reported on the 23rd, of November.

- The subway in the Belgian capital was completely closed.
- Public life has almost come to a complete stand-still. Markets and all public events were canceled. Shopping centers and museums were ordered to remain closed.
- Soccer matches and other sports events may not take place.
- A music festival with 130 musicians was canceled.
- Schools and universities were closed for the beginning of the week.
- The media house of the Flemish group Medialaan in Vilvoorde near Brussels was evacuated because of a bomb threat.
- Synagogues remained closed – the first time since World War II.

It is very obvious that due to these attacks, the European governments have a tremendous instru-ment of power and have created new authority to influence public life. But how and why is this justified or should this be questioned?

Honorary President of the the Human rights League, Henri Leclerc doubts that it is wise to force through such extensive measures as, for example, reforming the French Constitution because of being impressed by the immediate effects of this terror attack. A quote from Leclerc: “If we restrict our freedom, then the terrorists have won. May I remind of the the fact that it is not the goal to dis-mantle and take away our freedom, but to protect freedom.”
The newspaper “Le Monde” quoted Bastien Francois, who warned that this reform opposes funda-mental rights. It is going in the direction of a French “Patriot Act”. The “Patriot Act” came into power directly after the terror attack on September 11th, 2001 in the USA and brought massive re-strictions of US citizens’ civil rights. For example the wire-tapping and monitoring rights of the FBI was widely expanded, telephone and Internet service providers have to - since 9/11 - make their data public. Home searches may be carried out without the knowledge of the persons involved. The FBI received the right to look into bank customers’ private financial data, without reasonable cause or evidence of guilt. Experts doubt that the “Patriot Act” is effective against international terrorism and conclude that the real goal of such measures is to be better able to control social unrests and uprisings in the own country. It is a fact that millions of

Americans no longer believe the official explanation for the destruction of the World Trade Center towers. Here a link to one of our broad-casts on this topic.
German author and freelance journalist Ernst Wolff wrote in an article from November 21st, that the measures being taken against the IS are only preparations for larger domestic social conflicts. I quote Wolff: "Especially France is - due to the Euro-crisis - under increasing economic pressure. In order to increase it's competitive position on the World market, the country will have to reduce social benefits and services, tighten labor legislation and lower the wage niveau. All of these measures will - due to the already big social inequality in the country - cause major social opposition. This in turn can be suppressed and eliminated with the help of the already enacted laws against the right to public meetings, with more Internet surveillance and expansion of police and military authority."
The other European counties as well are facing immense social problems, according to Wolff. The refugee problematic is escalating all over Europe. Torrents of refugees give rise to frustration and violence: on the one hand violence by locals against migrants and on the other hand violence amongst the refugees themselves or towards locals. Here links to related broadcasts.
Regardless of how many domestic political measures the European governments are actually taking against terrorism to actively fight it, one thing is certain: since the attacks from November 13th the European governments have been given a powerful tool in their hands and new authority with which citizens protests and social unrests in the own country can effectively be controlled and sup-pressed:
- public life can be influenced, freedom of speech, freedom to gather and to protest can be forbidden and undesirable citizens can be silenced.
Valued viewers, go on observing this development and spread this broadcast whereever you can. I'll close with a quote from Martin Luther King: "We will have to repent in this generation not merely for the hateful words and actions of the bad people but for the appalling silence of the good people."

from dd.

2015

Overall context of the terrorist attacks in Paris – interview with Ken Jebsen

[VIDEO TEXT]

The well-known freelance journalist and presenter Ken Jebsen analyzes the terrorist attacks in Paris from a special point of view. He's not particularly focusing on the details, but on the historical road-map, the overall context and essential basic principles of the global power strategy. This core theme helps to understand past, current and future events of this type, to evaluate soberly - and most importantly – to take resolute action.

The well-known freelance journalist and presenter Ken Jebsen analyzes the terrorist attacks in Paris from a special point of view. He's not particularly focusing on the details, but on the historical road-map, the overall context and essential basic principles of the global power strategy. This core theme helps to understand past, current and future events of this type, to evaluate soberly - and most importantly – to take resolute action.

Ken Jebsen's Report:

I thought for a long time – after the attacks in Paris – if I should say anything at all - and what I could say. If I should write an article. An analysis… who spoke out first… it was the American President, who first said: we will punish the offenders – it wasn't the French President. So, a man from another continent, the President of another country said immediately after the attacks: "We will bring these

terrorists to justice ... we will go after them". Well – yes, so since this happened… We were just in the studio while it happened recording 'Me, Myself and Media' – when the news came through, we couldn't respond to it immediately. And – yes, the past few days we were actually constantly busy with it.
And then I always have sort of sleepless nights, because I really ask myself: How can I manage to not only impart a picture that just shows a magnified part of what happened in Paris?
The newscasts are actually doing this better - with the photos of what happened, where, who has blown up what, how many dead and so on.
But… I don't want a quick conclusion drawing either about how we will catch the offenders, where the clues lead – but, my interest is, to reveal an overall "big picture" - from a wide angle perspective, which will consistently apply for the next 25 years. Or, what you might recognize again. And this is not so easy - to get a clear idea of what direction you want to come from with this. That's why I'm trying to find the right words:

I know, there are a lot of people, who will have great difficulties when I say this now - with what I describe as truth or… what I have realized, yes… already a long time ago. But what I will express here, not everyone will like it. It's a little bit like going to the doctor and he's saying: „You have cancer! Lung cancer – and ehm, it's too late! You have another six months. "No one wants to hear that.
You would say: „Why – I only smoked a little… I have never smoked… that's impossible… and so on. Take a second look…" And then he says: „That's how it is!" It's a truth you don't want to live with. You even get angry with the doctor and you say: „He's a liar" and then you go to see another doctor. And he does the same thing and so on… Such a reflex could indeed be triggered here.

In this case it's also a matter of cancer, a cancer diagnosis: economic cancer I'd say or: cancer of our system. But I believe we have a chance, yes! We really have a chance, when we deal with the reality – and not with the media reality but with the true reality, with what is really true. And I'll try to get to the heart of it.

I believe, with the attacks in Paris there were several departments with popping champagne corks – wow – there's a party mood! They are really pleased, they think: 'Things went really well!' These people live off of setting large masses against each other, they live off of making sure that nations have an image of an enemy… all of them – no matter where you go, systems – no matter which one you take. And if you are able to build a stable image of an enemy and show again and again that this image is real, then they follow you. And for them Paris is a great thing, I'd say. Yes, because 130 dead, that is really a lot of people, but compared to a war in Iraq where one million people lost their lives, this is a price easy to deal with for the elites. The main thing is, people should have this image of the enemy.
And now you have to imagine: What happened right after Paris? Only hours later, the French government declared war on the IS - the Islamic State - sent fighter-jets to Syria and bombed a city. That wasn't the first time, they already did that before. Yes, before this they had flown 1.200 operations with 450 targets.
We just didn't notice this. So what the IS did now (they confessed guilt) in Paris was a reaction and not an action.
That means, Paris is behaving as if they have been attacked but the truth is, that Paris partici-pated in the Syrian war and not only there – Paris had also bombed Libya at that time and produced 40.000 dead.

Well then: France did that… together (Germany is on the side of France of course) so, they reacted, in fact as it is expected from a ‚Grand Nation': By force! That's nothing else than the continuation of war on terror which has been raging since September 11th in which the French are more or less involved.
Now, they did it again, they are now bombing Syria, the IS-positions. But of course we all know, when they are bombing the IS-position, or the positions they believe are IS-positions - the cities, that they are not only killing IS-soldiers but everybody that happens to be in the area. That means, they must have produced lots and lots of victims; civilians, and these will have been more than 130. That's clear. In other words, this war on terror will produce new terrorists. We know that. It's been like that since September 11th. I'd say this war against

terror has failed. That must be clearly said. Afghanistan is not pacified, Iraq has disintegrated, Libya is destroyed, and Syria is being destroyed currently.

The whole thing started much earlier. 1943 in Iran, ever since this component has is used. And if someone had to show a record of success, then we'd have to say, the NATO has failed. Everything they have propagated, didn't work. That's nothing new. You have to go to the archives to ascertain it: Chaos. And the terrorist attacks in Europe are becoming more, not less. The terrorists are becoming more, not less. IS is more successful than Al Kaida - it is growing. Now you should simply ask yourself: Is this just happening or is it possibly intentional, that it is going this way? Well this failure, is it really failure? And if it is a failure, for whom?
Or is it maybe a success? And if yes, for whom is it a success?
I support the hypothesis - not only this but - I strongly believe that it's a tremendous success.
Well, the war on terror, everywhere like metastases – it's cancer – for the one producing this, it's a tremendous success. Namely for those living on the fact that there are concepts of the enemy.

Who else is profiting from the attacks in Paris? Well, first France has sent airplanes to Syria. These are for example Rafale-planes and Mirage-planes, French fighter-jet from the company Dassault. Among other things, Dassault owns 70 newspapers in France. Among others 'Le Figaro'. And of course they have written that this is unavoidable. That means that what they are selling as without any alternative, is by the press organ of a weapons manufacturer. And actually they are making good money with Paris. It's really worth it. The cash registers are ringing. Well, Dassault says: "It's sad with Paris - the dead people - but concerning our business situation it's a full success. And who knows how long this war is going to last."

Yet this is not the end – it's another threshold so to say. It started much earlier. September 11th wasn't the start either. There is a book by a US professor – in my opinion it's a commissioned work – "Clash of Civilizations" – it propagates that there will be a battle between

cultures - between the Muslims and the Christians – and this isn't a warning – in my opinion it's an agenda. It has to do with the fact that the United States, of course, realized that they lost their bogeyman with the breakdown of the USSR. This could be wonderful if you have no more enemy, but when you work in the weapons industry for example, or in the security industry, then it's no good idea because these live from the existence of a bogeyman.
So September 11th conjured up a bogeyman – these are the Muslims – in between – until just a few days ago the Russians were the enemy concept – but now it's the Muslims again. At the G20-summit Putin met with Obama but this will only be something temporary. It's important to keep our western values in the west – so this bogeyman - and there are two reasons for that:

First, this is about continuing wars in countries that possess natural resources. For countries without natural resources – there, there are no terrorists. This can be observed. They are not attacked either. So countries that are attacked have natural resources that others want to have too. And that's why you always find terrorists there. Of course no one would say it this way. But it's nothing else than colonial wars which are being carried out with jets or cruise missiles today. So this is one thing. This way you can take hold of the natural resources and put your boot on the ground there and take the oil out of the ground which is flowing in our direction.

On the other hand though, there is an advantage to it, for through attacks like in Paris or something similar like 9/11 (there surely is a correlation between the two) – you can enforce things in your own population, especially with us here in the west would you could never push though in any democracy. Such special laws, like the 'Patriot Act' for example or the state of emergency in France which is to be prolonged. And it is especially about us here – and this is the job of our media – it is about the state of emergency with us here. The state of emergency in the countries that are bombarded has existed already for a long time. That does not interest us at all. And we are working

together with despots who take care that the state of emergency over there lasts. Egypt as well (this is one example) – for 30 years they had a state of emergency under Mubarak and now they have another state of emergency – not under Mursi but the new one – Al Sisi – it doesn't matter whom… You constantly have a state of emergency there and this state of emergency takes care that these despots together with us ensure that the natural resources flow at the cheapest possible cost to the own population in the west.

Yet the state of emergency of course is not enough for our system, our elite, if it can't be applied to us. For democracy, an oligarch once told me - democracy is an investment hindrance. And you have to regard it this way for in a democracy very many people vote on how things should be distributed. And this of course stands in the way of the industry. So the industry and the elite who own the industry, and the media also belong in here, it is an elite-media, they have their foot on the places where it's about natural resources; but they must also have their foot on places where people have freedom of opinion and disagree with the course of our elite. You have to understand that the elite we are dealing with here and the elite who portray the despots on the other side – that they really work together. We can also see that – the gulf states for example are our partners as totally authoritarian regimes. I believe Saudi-Arabia currently represents a Human Rights Council. You have to imagine that. And if you say: this is absurd… No, it is not absurd. Saudi-Arabia and Israel also have common values. These are always the values of the elite. These are bank-account values. It's about influence and the population. The man on the street is eventually in their way. And in a despotic system you knock down everything or you instigate a war which in Muslim countries mostly hits the Muslims. The IS is especially killing Muslims there. Basically over here a war is implied, first of all a war suggested through 130 dead, which in truth however is only supposed to be the spark in order to practically completely cover us with a surveillance state. That's what it is about.

I think Naomi Klein also wrote a book about it. This is a shock therapy. Quickly now special laws are 'waved through' and everyone

who says: “Sorry, that’s very dangerous” immediately is labeled a friend of the terrorists and is being torn apart by the media. Also people from peace movements of course are enemies immediately. So if they say: “Excuse me, but this is a totalitarian state that you are building here. You use 130 victims in order to bring all of Europe under the control of a few. This is not appropriate and also very dangerous.” So everyone who voices this is crazy, a friend of the despots and maybe even a Putin sympathizer. This is where we are heading at the moment.

And let’s talk about the terrorists: What kind of people are these who tie an explosive belt to themselves, grab a pump shot-gun, go into a country – or they maybe even come from this country - and shoot at everything that moves, do not keep an escape path open for themselves and blow themselves up? What kind of people are these? These are people who didn’t get a job in the army, who are not fighter pilots. These are not people who operate drones and direct killer machines via joystick. It’s really the same thing. These are people who say, ok… They really do the same as fighter pilots. They do the same thing as people on warships –aircraft-carriers - who fire off cruise missiles. They do the same thing that people in containers do who command drones. They kill people at random, randomly! Every drone attack is killing a person, a target is hit and this is always 42 civil victims.

With Paris we find this awful; with any terrorist attack we think this is terrible that people kill other people randomly. Yet we do this all day long. While we are talking here or after Paris – at least 130 people have died only due to drone attacks. This happens every day. In Syria and Iraq and Afghanistan every day they bomb and a 130 dead people - this number wouldn’t be worth a line, it’s nothing new in the west – if you know that movie. That means terrorists who blow themselves up only do it due to a lack of opportunities. NATO also is a terror-regime, a terror company, only with a bigger budget and you can’t tell a western soldier: what’s this for … go into a country as a ground troop, just like that – no reason given - you won’t come home

again. No, you'll have to pay him a very good salary for it. Those working in a combat mission receive much more money and when he comes back he's honored and praised. This is not the terrorist's goal. The software which they run on - their glory and honor is as they say: "I'll end up in paradise".

The point I want to make is that we understand that, we cannot win the war against terror because those we are dealing with, the terrorists are similar to the kamikaze pilots back then in Japan. These are people who are fightng for a higher cause, for them it's not about themselves, but they– no matter what you think about it – this is just what they do. And as the kamikazes did back then, crashing their jets into houses, these terrorists, who believe they run for Islam, blow themselves up. Those who do this have as little to do with Islam as the Ku Klux Klan have to do with the Bible. They are self-declared fighters. But when you have a look at the analyses of selected experts on terrorism, which really exist, you'll find: generally these are young men without jobs, without work, without honor –as they call it themselves- who see Al-Qaeda or ISIS to be their first great employers. From their point of view they get a regular pay and receive a task. That's a fact. You have to look at this soberly. They receive a task and this is practically a codex then. Like if you are put together as a unit in West Point and march into a country then and maybe have a begged-for journalist with you, then you are such a team and you do it. Every Vietnam-soldier will be able to confirm that. If you are in battle… it's this companionship, it's a typical testosterone what men have. With the IS-soldiers – they also understand themselves as soldiers – it's just the same. It's of no use to approach these people with logic. It won't achieve anything. For they are doing something which isn't logical from our point of view - they blow themselves up in the end; they don't receive any bonus-program then. If they come home they don't receive an iPad or something. They do this merely based on conviction. They practically are fanatics. And they can be brought turned from their fanatic way just as little as our NATO-strategists from their command centers. They will not stop it either. They are just as fanatically convinced of what they do.

Whether this is valued negatively or if you celebrate this as heroic – that depends on whom you ask. An Islamist who blew himself up or who lost his life in a mission, who gave his life for the idea and for the country is a hero in his country. Over here he is a terrorist of course.
It's the same as with a soldier who kills everything behind the lines of the enemy. If he comes back he is a hero, too. Yes, he'll receive many medals of honor. Over there where he was, there he is a war criminal. So the question is whom you ask.
You see, we cannot win the war against these people. Already because we produced the sleepers in the first place. We wake them up. We give the people who feel opressed a task. Even if they had nothing to do with Islam, they can simply join this ideology. They can simply say now: Yes, now I am an Islamist. I just want an eye for an eye, a tooth for a tooth – regardless of this coming from the Bible. And I just want to pay back those who have suppressed me. It is the same thing with us. Many people probably commit themselves to this battle just want to get even with our economic system – pay back the corporations, and the elite.

We can see similar patterns with people who were always bullied at school. They just snatch a gun and want to pay the school back. So this is not something special that Islamists do. Crazy shooters do the same things, with a Christian background, yes. Only nobody would say they had a Bible at home. Because it has nothing to do with the Bible, they have just become insane.

Well, we won't be able to fight them this way. The terrible thing that I want to point out is that the elites we are dealing with don't want it like this. The elite we are dealing with who want this clash of cultures on both sides now… those elite want to suppress both sides so that they can have a celebration up on the top. They approve and accept that most people will slaughter each other in this war and be removed. Because they fortunately, do not belong to the category: "most people".

That is why it is only a matter of time. It is only a matter of time until some crazy idiot… who could not be hindered - despite surveillance… Because the secret services always fail. Where are those services actually? France in particular should have been warned after Charlie Hebdo – maybe they were warned, we don't know. But it is not possible to watch everyone nationwide – well, but maybe this is why all people have to be watched nationwide. Even then though, something like this would be possible. New terrorists would be created because the people under surveillance would become as angry. Even if they were not terrorists - or would do it differently.

As I said, it will always work. If someone really wants it, he will set his mind on it. He will always do it. It is even possible to escape from Alcatraz, only using a spoon. So, if someone really wants something, he will manage it. And the more resistance there is, the more motivated he gets.

But the point I am trying to make is: If our policy – because the terror sponsors are sitting in our parliaments – if our politicians carry on like this, if we let them do as they like, follow their course that means war against the IS and more bombings… And I read in the German newspaper FAZ that Germany needs to consider if it wants to wage war side by side with France. And I remember the Spiegel magazine headline: "Germans need to learn how to kill again". This was written by Spiegel magazine after 1945. Imagine that!

So if we allow this, it is only a matter of time. And I don't believe either that I am the one giving these people an idea now. No, they already tick like this – if one of those madmen or a couple of them takes a nuclear power plant… and it doesn't matter if he blows up a mini reactor – a research reactor in Berlin or if he blows up a French nuclear power plant of if he takes "La Hague". "La Hague is Europe's biggest reprocessing plant. If you attack this, if you go in there, Chernobyl, Hiroshima, Nagasaki and Fukushima are only a child's play in comparison.